

## Argumentation/Opinion Text-Based Writing Rubric Grades 9–10

	Score of 4	Score of 3	Score of 2	Score of 1
Reading/Research 2 × =	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>makes effective use of available resources</li> <li>skillfully/effectively supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy</li> <li>uses credible sources*</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>makes adequate use of available resources</li> <li>supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy</li> <li>uses credible sources*</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>makes limited use of available resources</li> <li>inconsistently supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy</li> <li>inconsistently uses credible sources*</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>makes inadequate use of available resources</li> <li>fails to support an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy</li> <li>attempts to use credible sources*</li> </ul>
Development 3 x =	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>addresses all aspects of the writing task with a tightly focused response</li> <li>skillfully develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly, supplying sufficient and relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>addresses the writing task with a focused response</li> <li>develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly, supplying sufficient and relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>addresses the writing task with an inconsistent focus</li> <li>inconsistently develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly, supplying sufficient and relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>attempts to address the writing task but lacks focus</li> <li>attempts to establish a claim or proposal</li> <li>supports claim(s) using evidence that is insufficient and/or irrelevant</li> </ul>
Organization 2 × =	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>effectively introduces precise claim(s); distinguishes the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims</li> <li>effectively creates an organization that establishes clear relationships among claim(s), counterclaim(s), reasons, and evidence</li> <li>skillfully uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims</li> <li>provides an effective concluding statement or section that follows from and skillfully supports the argument presented</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>introduces precise claim(s); distinguishes the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims</li> <li>creates an organization that establishes clear relationships among claim(s), counterclaim(s), reasons, and evidence</li> <li>uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims</li> <li>provides a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>introduces the claim(s); however, may fail to distinguish the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claim(s)</li> <li>has a progression of ideas that may lack cohesion (ideas may be rambling and/or repetitive)</li> <li>inconsistently uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims</li> <li>provides a sense of closure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>identifies the claim(s)</li> <li>has little or no evidence of purposeful organization</li> </ul>



	Score of 4	Score of 3	Score of 2	Score of 1
Language/Conventions 1 × =	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>demonstrates an exemplary command of standard English conventions</li> <li>skillfully employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose</li> <li>has sentences that are skillfully constructed with appropriate variety in length and structure</li> <li>follows standard format for citation with few errors*</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>demonstrates a command of standard English conventions; errors do not interfere with understanding</li> <li>employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose</li> <li>has sentences that are generally complete with sufficient variety in length and structure</li> <li>follows standard format for citation with few errors*</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>demonstrates a limited and/or inconsistent command of standard English conventions; errors may interfere with understanding</li> <li>inconsistently employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose</li> <li>has some sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety</li> <li>follows standard format for citation with several errors*</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The writing –</li> <li>demonstrates a weak command of standard English conventions; errors interfere with understanding</li> <li>employs language and tone that are inappropriate to audience and purpose</li> <li>has frequent and severe sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety</li> <li>follows standard format for citation with significant errors*</li> </ul>

\* If applicable